

Protect

The Right to International Protection

Deliverable 9.6 The Mid-term Conference

Dissemination level: Public

Lead Beneficiary: Ryerson University

Co-lead Beneficiary: The Open University





D9.6 Midterm Conference Report

The Right to International Protection: A Pendulum Between Globalization and Nativization?

26-27 August 2021

The midterm conference of PROTECT was held virtually at Ryerson University, Toronto, on 26-27 August 2021. The conference was originally scheduled for the end of July, however it was postponed by one month, with the approval of the REA, in order to avoid a low-activity period. The conference was organized via Zoom Webinar due to the COVID-19 pandemic related travel restrictions. At the time of the event, Canada's borders were closed to non-essential travel. Only Canadian citizens and permanent residents as well as fully vaccinated citizens of the Unites States were authorized to travel to Canada.

The midterm conference preparations started as early as July 2020. Work Package (WP9) and the Ryerson conference team consulted with the PROTECT partners to get their feedback as to the conference technology and potential presenters. In line with the Grant Agreement (GA), a policy forum and an academic forum have been included in the program: the relative reports are available in deliverable D9.8, as foreseen in the GA. In addition, the conference was designed to enable the PROTECT partners to present preliminary research findings and to maximize feedback from participants, including the audience.

Invitations were sent out to potential keynote speakers, policy forum and academic forum presenters, and the PROTECT work package leaders as well as to affiliated researchers in the first months of 2021.

We used a variety of channels to share information about the midterm conference, including the European Union's Cordis and EU-events services; teaser posts/videos in social media like LinkedIn, Twitter, Facebook, and YouTube; the PROTECT website, e-mail lists and social media channels of large international migration research networks, and our consortium partners' own means of information sharing. For instance, in Canada, the conference announcement and program were shared with several universities (e.g., Ryerson University, York University, University of Toronto, Université de Montréal, McGill University), as well as with governmental and nongovernmental organizations. We reached out to the main research centers and associations, including the Centre for Refugee Studies of York University; International Association for the Study of Forced Migration (IASFM); Canadian Association for Refugees and Forced Migration Studies (CARFMS); Ryerson University's Canada Excellence Research Chair in Migration and Integration; Ryerson Centre for Immigration and Settlement; to disseminate the information through their listservs and to reach a broad and diversified audience in Canada and globally. The conference-information-sharing effort also aimed to create an awareness of the existence of PROTECT, its research findings, and its online information channels. We invited stakeholders that were defined as the main target groups in our dissemination, exploitation, and communication plans.

The conference consisted of two half-day sessions to accommodate the time difference between North America and Europe/South Africa, regions where the vast majority of the audience is located.

When the conference program was finalized, the PROTECT WP leaders and other presenters in the Day 2 concurrent panels were asked to pre-record their 15-minute full presentations and submit them to WeTransfer two weeks before the midterm conference. The video recordings were made available ahead of the live plenary session to maximize interaction with the audience and getting feedback. To this end, we sent out detailed instructions and links for 1) pre-recorded videos, and 2) live presentations. We also prepared guidelines intended to help presenters produce a basic video recording with readily available tools. PROTECT's WP9 co-lead Prof. Simon Usherwood made a video with useful suggestions about presenting online that we recommended presenters watch. Mari Lund Eide (the then PROTECT Communication Coordinator) prepared a Step-by-step.docx for exporting and uploading the video to WeTransfer.

The recorded presentations by the WP leaders and the concurrent panel sessions presenters were made available to all conference registrants on the <u>PROTECT YouTube channel</u> on August 9th, 2021.

In addition, we sent out detailed instructions to the speakers on the policy forum and the academic forum scheduled for August 27th. We invited them to deliver short presentations of 10 to 15 minutes to explore current policy priorities and issues in the governance of international protection and the rights of refugees in an age of nationalism. We requested the speakers on the policy forum and the academic forum to provide feedback on the PROTECT findings presented in the first plenary on August 26 and in the aforementioned full video recordings.

There were some unanticipated changes in the conference program. Glen Linder (Immigration, Refugees, Citizenship Canada) and Janet Dench (Canadian Council for Refugees) were not able to participate in the policy forum. Glen Linder expressed his regrets saying that with the federal election period underway, public servants are now in a caretaker period and their work is confined to necessary public business. Similarly, Janet Dench could not participate in the policy forum because she had to attend the funeral of Francisco Rico Martinez, a prominent figure in refugee protection in Canada, on August 27th.

All PROTECT partner universities were present at the conference on both days. UNHCR and ECRE were represented at high levels as speakers on the policy forum. Madeline Garlick (UNHCR) and Catherine Woollard (ECRE) were joined by François Crépeau, former UN Special Rapporteur on the Human Rights of Migrants. PROTECT's sister projects ASILE and VULNER were also represented in the midterm conference by Sergio Carrera, ASILE's coordinator, and François Crépeau, VULNER Canada team co-leader. We invited Natasha Bertaud, deputy head of cabinet of the European Commission V-P. However, we did not receive an answer from her. Our efforts to have the EU represented in the conference were not successful.

We received 316 total registration requests, including by project members (the list of the attendees is attached).

In order to enhance discussion, we encouraged the participants and the audience to use the Q&A function and the chat box on the Zoom webinar or Padlet. Each session was moderated by a consortium member. The panel sessions were recorded and made available on the PROTECT YouTube channel after the conference.

Below, we provide a summary of the midterm conference plenary session discussions.

On Day 1 (August 26th), the midterm conference was opened by Dr. Idil Atak (PROTECT) from Ryerson University at 9:00 a.m. (EDT). **The welcome and opening remarks** were delivered by

Prof. Mohamed Lachemi, President and Vice Chancellor of Ryerson University. Prof. Lachemi welcomed the participants. He noted that Ryerson University is located in the most diverse city of Canada, a country with a history of opening its doors to refugees. He emphasized the importance of refugee protection and how refugees benefit receiving countries. Prof. Lachemi also remarked that the midterm conference comes at an important moment to find answers to refugee emergencies and support forced migrants.

The opening remarks were followed by **Prof. Hakan G. Sicakkan's (PROTECT coordinator)** presentation on a cleavage theory approach to international protection - the theory and conceptual framework of the PROTECT. Prof. Sicakkan underlined that PROTECT explores ways of advancing the rights of refugees in the current turbulent political context. He briefly explained the cleavage theory approach, the contestations between the main groups (e.g., nativists, nation-statists, regionalists, and globalists) in the global cleavage system, and their implications for the protection of refugees. By using newly collected data from PROTECT's social media studies and representative national surveys on global cleavages and citizens' attitudes to refugee protection, he also demonstrated how the global political cleavages affect the international protection system by structuring citizen attitudes to international protection. Prof. Sicakkan noted that PROTECT identifies the sets of protection tools – norms, governance modes, and discourses – preferred by different actors, as well as the best performing tools, and tests them in times of political or sanitary crises.

The first plenary session of the conference opened at 9:30 a.m. and was moderated by Dr. Dario Mazzola (PROTECT). It involved **short presentations of findings from the PROTECT Work Package leaders**. Each presentation lasted 5 minutes and was based on <u>the full pre-recorded video presentations</u> by the WP leaders. Every two WP presentation was followed by a short Q&A period.

Prof. Elspeth Guild (QMUL) provided a brief overview of WP2, its focus on refugee protection in law in the context of the cleavage theory, and on how the legally non-binding Global Compacts impact national law in the context of the EU and regional law. Dr. Guild noted that the internationalists have sought to use the Compacts to push forward for more access to procedures including asylum, to limit immigration detention, and to promote better health care services. She informed the audience of a number of PROTECT WP2 forums that will be organized in the Fall of 2021. **Prof. Jurgen Bast (JLU)** confirmed that the forums will discuss the role of actors in the implementation of the Global Compacts. He reminded that WP2 focuses on the GCM and its impact on protection seeking migrants and the global governance of migration.

WP3 was presented by **Prof. Francesca Longo** (**UNICT**) who recalled that this WP's main task is to assess the impacts of the Global Compacts on the governance of migration and to explore national institutional asylum architectures that achieve a high standard of protection for refugees. She said that WP3 analyzes comparatively first instance asylum decision-making bodies in Europe, Canada, and South Africa from 1975-2020. Prof. Longo shared some of the WP3 findings pertaining to the differences among the recognition rates and the implications of the externalization of asylum.

During the Q&A, Prof. Guild responded to a question from the audience about the Global Compacts' potential effect of undermining the existing standards of international law. She emphasized that the Global Compacts are based on the principles of legality, non-discrimination, and non-regression which means that States must build on the existing laws and increase them as necessary to meet their obligations.

The key objectives and preliminary findings of WP4 were presented by **Prof. Christine Jacobsen** (**UiB**) and **Prof. Jo Vearey** (**WITS**). They explained that WP4 deals with the concept

of vulnerability and how it is understood and implemented by key actors in different countries. Methods and ethnographic fieldwork were impacted by the covid-19 pandemic. According to the preliminary findings, vulnerability works as a filtering device and justifies restrictive policies toward some other groups of migrants. Profs. Vearey and Jacobsen remarked that tensions occur in the ground and it remains to be seen to which extent these tensions mirror political cleavages.

Prof. Simon Usherwood (Open University) explained that WP5 examines the role of civil society organizations that are seen as key actors in the operationalization of policy. A survey of CSOs in a number of countries was conducted. Preliminary results show that the ecosystem of these groups is significant in some countries and in line with the cleavage theory. Globalist/regionalists are likely to engage in refugee supporting actions. There is a generally good awareness of the Global Compacts, although the GCR seems to be less known by the CSOs. Prof. Usherwood highlighted overlaps with other WPs and the need to have a clear sight of CSOs since they are effective partners with the potential to make a difference on the ground as agents of change and their significance is likely to grow over time.

Q&A: There was a question from the audience on what PROTECT tries to achieve. Prof. Veary responded that the main objective can be summarized as generating evidence on how the politics and governance are playing out and improving the condition of protection-seeking migrants. Prof. Usherwood answered another question by drawing attention to the space for non-state actors who have a degree of agility and responsiveness. He said a more pluralistic approach creates potential for more support in favor of refugees.

Dr. Pierre Van Wolleghem (UiB) presented WP6 on citizens' recognition of the right to international protection. He said an international comparative survey measured attitudes in 26 countries in Europe and beyond and discussed some of the preliminary findings using two survey questions. One of the questions concerned the choice between two burden-sharing mechanisms: financial contribution or accepting refugee applicants. The majority of respondents would rather accept refugee applicants with some variations depending on the amount of financial contribution and the countries surveyed. Dr. Van Wolleghem said the other question was about the most serious mistake that decision-making authorities could make when they assess asylum claims, i.e., a false positive or a false negative. According to the preliminary results, a large share of respondents said that the two kinds of mistakes are equally serious, results also reveal some differences of opinion between left-wing and right-wing respondents.

Prof. Anamaria Dutceac-Segesten (LU) discussed the WP7 objectives and traditional and social media survey preliminary findings. She outlined that from 2014-2019, about 2% of the media coverage was dedicated to the topics of refugees, migration, and the UN in 18 countries surveyed. An analysis of Germany and the UK was made to check the global cleavages in the media discourse. The most prevalent discourse was human rights and globalist in the traditional media in these countries. Prof. Dutceac-Segesten said that social media discourse analysis focused on Twitter in 9 languages and revealed that migration discourse is Europeanized among citizens, national discourses on Twitter differ significantly from one country to another, but the antimigration Twitter network seems to be denser and more populated.

Q&A: Dr. Van Wolleghem answered a question about administrative capacity and politics in the asylum procedure. He said WP3 collects data to see how actors are involved in the refugee determination process. He also provided more details concerning the aforementioned "most serious error" question: the failure to grant asylum is considered to be more serious by the research participants.

In response to a question on the level of awareness of the national decision makers, Prof. Guild said that WP2 prepares a handbook on how the GCs need to be applied by decision- and policy-makers and to bring the Common European Asylum System in conformity with the Global Compacts in order to ensure that the political commitments are translated into implementation.

James C. Hathaway, James E. and Sarah A. Degan Professor of Law, University of Michigan Law School concluded Day 1 with a thought-provoking keynote (Q&A is also available at the link) titled 'The Global Cop-Out on Refugees: Why the World Needs a Bold Plan to Save Refugee Protection'. The keynote took place from 11:30 a.m.-12:30 Prof. Hathaway discussed the current state of refugee protection. He argued that sticking with the status quo refugee system is not an option and outlined an alternative to the current refugee protection framework. The points raised by Prof. Hathaway can be summarized as follows: The Global Compact on Refugees is a losing proposition and a vague rhetorical platform in a context where multilateralism is in decline and there is no upward limit to the numbers of refugees a state has to accept. The current approach to asylum, where accidents of geography determine who has the responsibility to protect, cannot work if it is an unlimited and one-sided obligation. It is necessary to address this perceived problem to make the system viable by taking into account local needs and interests in a binding system. 25 years ago, a number of stakeholders suggested an alternative refugee protection system that offers basic benchmarks of a workable program. Promoting access to protection would challenge the perverse logic of deterrence. Current refugee systems which are slow and overly expensive would be replaced by internationally run and groupbased status assessments of refugee claims. The UN would be allowed to allocate and move refugees for protection for the duration of the risk according to a system of preference matching. It would start with pre-determined assignments and aim to empower and support refugees and host communities. This shift to a common international refugee status determination system would free up funds for real support to front-line receiving countries, refugees would be empowered as incubators of economic activity and as development engines. At the five-year mark, every refugee would have access to the permanent solution of resettlement. There are historical precedents that show that the model could work. The system would not only be cost-effective but also save lives, protection can be empowering, protracted refugee situations would end, the smuggling market would be undermined. The GCR does not eliminate barriers to access, or offer dependable assignment of refugees to protection for the duration of the risk, does not guarantee meaningful burden or responsibility-sharing nor solutions for refugees and host countries.

In the Q&A, several questions and comments were addressed to Prof. Hathaway who elaborated on the reasons for the resistance by some governments and international organizations to the model exposed and clarified the location of assessment of claims, as well as the implications of the suggested model for state sovereignty. Prof. Hathaway also discussed the mobilizations to convince states and other stakeholders to follow the model exposed. He said that there is no plan to move this model into a legally binding protocol. Prof. Hathaway expanded on preference matching as part of the suggested model. He contended that freedom of movement is part of the solution to enable people to go to places where it is easier for them to integrate and for the host communities to welcome them. To answer a question about the involvement of the courts, Prof. Hathaway said that it is not a legalistic system: there will only be an administratively constructed review. Other questions and comments touched on the situation in the host states that are not signatories to the 1951 Convention, the lack of incentives for these states to sign the 1951 Convention; potential conflict between the 1951 Convention and the suggested model. Overall,

attendees were immensely engaged with the presentations and asked many questions as well as provided enriching comments through the Q&A function of the Zoom Webinar and Padlet. Indeed, many of the Q&A periods utilized the entire dedicated time frame and participants were encouraged to continue the discussion informally. Further, the conference served as an opportunity for PROTECT researchers to share preliminary findings and discuss the Compacts through a comparative framework.

Specifically, during the presentations of WP2 and WP3 leaders, several attendees inquired about and commented on the impact of the pandemic on the GCR and access to vaccines. Prof. Guild responded that this undoubtedly has had a profound effect on our understanding on citizenship and participation in our local communities and it is something that the PROTECT team will continue to investigate. Whereas Prof. Bast commented that as per objective 14 of the GCM, protection seeking migrants can rely and claim on the commitment of states to provide access to health services, which are an especially important issue at present.

Other comments centred on the non-binding nature of the Compacts and asked the speakers about what legal avenues could be pursued to ensure a higher impact on the protection of human rights and if there is potential for the Compacts to undermine binding legal norms. Prof. Guild reminded the audience that the Compacts challenged the political commitment of States at the UN and legal requirements. Thus, the aim is not to make the Compacts binding, rather challenge national laws to ensure compliance with the political commitments of our leaders. She stressed that the preferred interpretation for our executive and judicial representatives as well as NGOs and civil society should be expansive considering the Compacts which is precisely one of the PROTECT research areas. Prof. Caestecker added that though not binding and as evident from the PROTECT research, the Compacts are indeed based on international law and legal standards and as such play an important role as they are an enormous step forward for placing migration and asylum on the global agenda. Prof. Bast also concurred and disagreed with the premise of the questions/comments from an international legal perspective. He emphasized that legally binding commitments under international law are not necessarily more impactful. That is, soft law instruments with effective review procedures that allow for the means of checking compliance and include civil society are arguably more important and effective than hard law commitments.

A notable comment directed to the WP3 presenters was regarding the interaction between administrative capacity and government intervention in status determinations. Prof. van Wolleghem noted that this was a very pertinent question and that the PROTECT WP3 team is in the process of collecting more data on the legal frameworks relating to refugee status determinations with the aim of finding out how actors are involved in the decision-making process. He stressed that this question is at the centre of the WP3 quantitative work, and we are continuing to investigate.

In summary, the research conducted by the PROTECT team at this juncture was well received and participants expressed an interest to continue following the research project as data analysis and more substantial comparative findings become available to share.

The conference reached a large and diverse group of international stakeholders including academics, students, policymakers, and practitioners. Most participants identified themselves as affiliated with an academic institution and were predominately located in Europe (i.e. England, Norway, Belgium, Spain, Greece, France, Germany, Sweden, Denmark, Ireland, the Netherlands, Italy, Poland, Slovakia, Slovenia, Belarus, and Turkey) and in Canada (i.e. Ontario, Quebec,

Alberta, Saskatchewan, and British Columbia). A considerable number of academics were also located in Africa including South Africa, Nigeria, and Cameroon as well as Asia (i.e. Indonesia, India, China, Borneo, Philippines). Further, a number of attendees were located in the Americas (i.e. the U.S., Grenada, Mexico, and Brazil), New Zealand and the United Arab Emirates.

In addition, the midterm conference included a considerable number of practitioners and participants representing civil society, non-governmental as well as intergovernmental organizations operating internationally, in Europe, Canada, and in Africa. These included journalists and practising immigration and refugee lawyers and representatives from legal clinics in Europe and Canada; several representatives from the Danish Refugee Council, Portuguese Refugee Council, and the Greek Ombudsman Office; representatives from the Global Research Program on Inequality, Border Violence Monitoring Network, Refugees International, World University Service of Canada, Sinai Health, Refugee Solidarity Network, Youth Empowerment for Peace and Security, Women's Refugee Commission, Europeans United for Humanity, Fraternity - International Humanitarian Missions, Greenpeace; numerous participants working with the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees, Red Cross, International Organization for Migration, United Nations Development Programme, Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, and the European Asylum Support Office.

The conference also attracted a noteworthy number of policymakers and governmental representatives including from the Canadian Immigration and Refugee Board, Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada, the Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office of the UK, the Ministry of Justice of South Africa, the Ministry of Justice of Cameroon, the Office of the Chancellor of Justice, the Greek Legal Counsel of the State Office, the Kosovo Specialist Chambers, the International Tribunal of Conscience of Peoples in Movement for Mexico, and the Commission on Human Rights of Philippines.

Lastly, the videos posted on the PROTECT YouTube channel after the conference have garnered on average 34 views each. Thus, a broader audience continues to engage with the content.





Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



Protect Midterm Conference The Right to International Protection: A Pendulum Between Globalization and Nativization?

Ryerson University, Toronto 26-27 August 2021

Thursday, 26 August 9:00 a.m.- 12:30 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time)

9:00 a.m.-9:10 a.m. Welcome and introductory remarks: Mohamed Lachemi, President and Vice Chancellor, Ryerson University

9:10 a.m.- 9:30 a.m. Hakan G. Sicakkan, PROTECT Principal Investigator, University of Bergen, A Cleavage Theory Approach to Advancing the International Refugee Protection

9:30 a.m.-11:00 a.m. PROTECT Plenary Session

Chair: Dario Mazzola, University of Bergen

- Elspeth Guild, Queen Mary University of London and Jürgen Bast, University of Giessen (PROTECT Work Package (WP) 2)
- Frank Caestecker, Ghent University and Francesca Longo, University of Catania (WP3)
- Jo Veary, University of the Witwatersrand and Christine M. Jacobsen, University of Bergen (WP4)
- Simon Usherwood, Open University (WP5) Q&A
- Pierre Van Wolleghem and Cornelius Cappelen, University of Bergen (WP6)
- Anamaria Dutceac Segesten, Lund University and Boris Mance, University of Ljubljana (WP7)

Q&A

11:00-11:30 Break

11:30 a.m.-12:30 p.m. Keynote address: James C. Hathaway, James E. and Sarah A. Degan Professor of Law, University of Michigan Law School

12:30 p.m. End of discussions









Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



Friday, 27 August 9:00 a.m.- 12:45 p.m. (Eastern Daylight Time)

9:00 a.m.-10:15 a.m. Plenary Policy Forum

Chair: Elspeth Guild, Queen Mary University of London

- François Crépeau, Professor, Faculty of Law, McGill University (VULNER)
- Madeline Garlick, Chief of Section, Protection Policy, UNHCR
- Catherine Woollard, Secretary General, ECRE

Q&A

10:15 a.m.-10:30 a.m. Break

10:30 a.m.-11:45 a.m. Plenary Academic Forum

Chair: Idil Atak, Ryerson University

- Sergio Carrera, Senior Research Fellow and Head of Home Affairs Unit, CEPS (ASILE)
- Anna Triandafyllidou, Professor, CERC, Ryerson University
- Jennifer Hyndman, Professor and Associate Vice-President Research, York University

11:45 a.m.-12:00 a.m. Break

12:00 p.m.-1:00 p.m. Concurrent Panel Sessions

Panel A - Understanding the impact of national refugee status determination systems on international protection

Chair: Frank Caestecker, Ghent University

- Eva Ecker, Ghent University: The influence of the institutional architecture on the outcome of international protection determination: The cases Belgium and the Netherlands
- Pierre-Georges VanWolleghem, University of Bergen: Explaining variation in refugee recognition rates: The role of refugee status determination architectures
- Craig Damian Smith, CERC, Ryerson University: Power politics and the Refugee Compact in Central America: Host states, containment, and the absence of international resettlement

Panel B - Assessing the role of the Global Compacts for Refugees and Migration in Europe: Discourses and Synergies

Chair: Mari Lund Eide, University of Bergen

- Elspeth Guild, Kathryn Allinson and Nicolette Busuttil, Queen Mary University of London: An Analysis of the gaps and synergies between the Common European Asylum System and the UN Global Compacts for Refugees and Migration
- Dario Mazzola, University of Bergen: Seizing the Compacts: The clash of political and normative discourses over the Global Compacts on Migration and Refugees









Horizon 2020 European Union funding for Research & Innovation



 Sara Schmitt & Raphael Heiberger, University of Stuttgart: Media and migration. How international protection is covered in public discourse

Panel C - Migrants' Vulnerabilities and the Global Compacts for Refugees and Migration Chair: Simon Usherwood, Open University

- Danilo Di Mauro, Iole Fontana, Daniela Irrera, University of Catania: Managing new forms of vulnerability: The contribution of Italian civil society organizations in the migrants' protection policies
- Janna Wessels, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam: Planned destitution: Socio-economic deprivation and as a policy tool to control migration
- Jona Zyfi, University of Toronto: The impact of the GRC and GMC on assessing vulnerability: Preliminary findings of fieldwork in Canada

Panel D - The global refugee regime and international protection in Africa: Out of step and out of time?

Chair: Jo Vearey, Director of African Centre for Migration and Society (ACMS), University of the Witwatersrand

- Kudakwashe Vanyoro, ACMS, University of the Witwatersrand: 'Now That Mugabe is Gone You Are Free' Temporalised Governance of Vulnerability, Migration and Im/mobilities at the Zimbabwe-South Africa Border
- Noah Ssempijja, MA Refugee Protection and Migration Studies Student, University of London: Integrating Services for Host Communities and Refugees: Pathway to Local Integration for Refugees?
- Nicholas Maple, ACMS, University of the Witwatersrand: International Protection in South(ern) Africa: UNHCR and Regime Shifting in the Urban Space

1:00 p.m. Concluding remarks: Hakan G. Sicakkan and Idil Atak





NAME (FIRST AND LAST):	INSTITUTION OR ORGANIZATION:
Mari Lund Eide	University of Bergen
Pierre Van Wolleghem	UiB
Frank Caesteccker	University of Ghent
Hanne Iglebæk Christensen	UiB
Nik Tan	Danish Institute for Human Rights
Georgia Dimitriou	Durham University
Riccardo Viviani	UNHCR
Marry-Anne Karlsen	PROTECT / University of Bergen
Violeta Peláez Ramos	Universidad de Granada
Ivona Truscan	UNHCR
Seda Yazgan Hadzibulic	Neiu
Zvezda Vankova	Lund University
Angelica Shilova	-
Agelina Sora	Greek ombudsman
Shreya Bose	International Committee of The Red Cross
Irawati Handayani	Faculty of Law University of Padjadjaran Indonesia
Anna Trylińska	Anna Trylińska Immigration Lawyer Poland
Clara Zavala Folache	Hijra Legal Clinic
Shivani Gupta	Jadavpur University
Larissa	Uni Bayreuth
Rosal	Various
Emily Paffett	Centre for Trust, Peace and Social Relations, Coventry Univeristy.
Kudakwashe Vanyoro	ACMS
Rodolfo Ribeiro	Iheid
Dominika Pszczółkowska	Centre of Migration Research, University of Warsaw
Patrick Wall	UNHCR
Caleb Benadum	UNHCR
Meltem Ineli Ciger	Suleyman Demirel University
Inês Carreirinho	Portuguese Refugee Council
Diallo Mamadou	S/C UNHCR Sénégal Dakar
Munitu Bimwala Manasse	Jean Avenue Investment CC
Erika Kalantzu	Free lance lawyer working in the refugee field since 1990
Virginia Metsini	Danish Refugee Council
Orestis Piperoglou	Danish Refugee Council
Luke Hamilton	UNHCR
Marcela Ortiz	UMICH
Maéva Despaux	University Pompeu Fabra (Barcelona)

Bettina Scholdan	Federal Agency for Reception and Suppport Services (Austria)
Mandisa Makhathini	University of Zululand
Christina Balta	DRC Greece
Younous Arbaoui	VU University Amsterdam & Migration Law Clinci Morocco
Sophia Soares	University of Bristol
Natallia Karkanitsa	Belarusian State University
Kristiina Albi	Office of the Chancellor of Justice
Lisa Unterlerchner	Sciences Po
Shingirai Nyakabawu	University of the Western Cape
Mikaela Wang	UNHCR
Maria Ulvesæter	Global Research Programme on Inequality (GRIP)
Alena Koslerova	University in Bergen
Jonas Püschmann	MPIL
Karin Geuijen	Utrecht University, dept. Governance, The Netherlands
Ana B. Martínez G.	University of Navarra
Adele Del Guercio	Università degli Studi di Napoli L'Orientale
Chrysanthi Tatsi	UNHCR
Michela Venturi	Aalborg University
Vanesa Rodríguez Feltan	Pontifical University Saint Thomas of Aquinas
Alexandra Bogos	Border Violence Monitoring Network
Silvia Rosenbaum	IOM
kama petruczenko	refugee council
Daphne Panayotatos	Refugees International
Hilary Evans Cameron	X (Ryerson) University
Mehari Fisseha	University of Zaragoza
Jessica Nash	United Nations
Liliana Jubilut	Universidade Católica de Santos
Tanya Tierney	Aalborg University
Barış Can Sever	METU
Rahul Balasundaram	N/A
Katarzyna Strąk	Institute of Law Studies of Polish Academy of Sciences
Tashryn (first) Mohd Shahrin (last)	Greenpeace Southeast Asia
Thomas Spijkerboer	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Ane Martinez	FENIX
Gustavo de la Orden Bosch	Institute of Human Rights Pedro Arrupe, University of Deusto
Maria Osullivan	Monash
Lilian	Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office
Keelin Barry	Irish Centre for Human Rights, NUIG

Ruvi Ziegler	University of Reading
Sharalyn Jordan	Simon Fraser University
Melissa Casagrande	UFPR
Lldia Kuzemska	Lancaster University
Charlotte Louise Brown	LSE
Michela Venturi	Aalborg University
Dallal Stevens	University of Warwick
Christy Sommers	Where There Be Dragons
Heléne Hedberg	Malmö University
Mauro Pérez Bravo	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México
Camilo Perez-Bustillo	Witness at the Border/Int'l Tribunal of Conscience of Peoples in Movement
Olga Djurovic	Asylum Protection Center
Francia Malvar	Lawyer
Aybike acikel	WALD
Alan Desmond	Leicester Law School
Georges Flora Ngoumou Mveng Mveng	Institut des Relations internationales du Cameroun
Andrej Frelin	Lund University
Melitini Duri	Legal Counsel of the State (Greece)
Oseni Azeez Oluwagbenga	Youth Empowerment for Peace and Security
Syn Amanuel	Selamta Immigration Services
Leni Linthout	Ghent University
María González	Universidade da Coruña
Dario Mazzola	Department of Comparative Politics University of Bergen
Anna Purkey	St. Paul's University College, University of Waterloo
Silviana Cocan	University of Montreal
Irmak Kurtulmus	Ryerson University
Oladapo Opasina	Ryerson University
Samia Tecle	University of Toronto
Corey Robinson	York University
DESIRE BIRUKUNDI	CFGT
Alizee Zapparoli-Bodson	WUSC
Kathie Friedman	University of WA
Oumoul Koulsoumi Oumarou	Ministry of justice
Maria Fernandez	CARE
Manal Stulgaitis	UNHCR
Jinan Bastaki	United Arab Emirates University
Francis Tom F. Temprosa	Commission on Human Rights Philippines
Orcun Ulusoy	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam

Tania kaiser	SOAS University of London
Ayar Ata	Isbu
Tearney McDermott	Ryerson University
Ranu Basu	York University
Sasha Baglay	Ontario Tech
France Houle	University of Montreal
Samantha DeBoer	Ryerson University
Ndeye Dieynaba ndiaye	UQAM
oumoul koulsoumi oumarou	ministry of justice
llene Hyman	University of Toronto
Nicholas R. Micinski	University of Maine
Prakash Adhikari	Central Michigan University
Lynn Weaver	Cowichan Intercultural Society
Zaid Hydari	Refugee Solidarity Network/Fordham University School of Law
Parisa Azari	University of Ottawa
Andrea Pacheco Pacifico	Paraiba State University, Brazil
Miriam Cullen	University of Copenhagen
Karen Culcasi	West Virginia University
Caroline Stampone Oliveira	None
Madhusmita Jena	Madhusmita Jena
	Madhusmita Jena UBC
Madhusmita Jena	
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi	UBC
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane	UBC Undp
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young	UBC Undp Ryerson University
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland)
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet Iman Ahmed	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland) Peel Public Health, COVID-19 Mass Immunization Program
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet Iman Ahmed Robert Sata	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland) Peel Public Health, COVID-19 Mass Immunization Program CEU
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet Iman Ahmed Robert Sata Camille Lefebvre	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland) Peel Public Health, COVID-19 Mass Immunization Program CEU Laval University
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet Iman Ahmed Robert Sata Camille Lefebvre Christa Kuntzelman	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland) Peel Public Health, COVID-19 Mass Immunization Program CEU Laval University Northwestern University
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet Iman Ahmed Robert Sata Camille Lefebvre Christa Kuntzelman Mehrunnisa Ali	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland) Peel Public Health, COVID-19 Mass Immunization Program CEU Laval University Northwestern University Ryerson University
Madhusmita Jena Aryan Karimi Yasmina Benslimane Karen Young Elena Dingu-Kyrklund Bernard Giordano Arnóbio Liza Etienne Piguet Iman Ahmed Robert Sata Camille Lefebvre Christa Kuntzelman Mehrunnisa Ali Ben Lewis	UBC Undp Ryerson University KCI / Stockholm University University of Auckland State University of Paraíba - Brazil Borneo University Tarakan, Indonesia Geography - University of Neuchâtel (Switzerland) Peel Public Health, COVID-19 Mass Immunization Program CEU Laval University Northwestern University Ryerson University OHCHR

Charissa Fawole	South African Institute for Advanced Constitutional, Public, Human Rights and International Law (SAIFAC) a centre of the University of Johannesburg
Nicole Hoellerer	University of Exeter
Emnet Gebre	Independent
Knowledge Mabhena	University of Witwatersrand
Barbara Franz	Rider University
Patrick Lee	Kings College London
Sally Abdullah	Ryerson
Robert Larruina	Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam
Zaheer Dauwer	IRCC
Aziz Rahman	Canadian Institute for Integration, Reconciliation and Transformation
Casey Pearson	SOAS University
Samira T Bavand	Norwegian Refugee Council
Evgenia Iliadou	University of Surrey
Thobeka	Witwatersrand
James C. Simeon	York University
Ruben Wissing	Ghent University, Department of European, Public and International Law
Ivan Kochovski	IOM Western Balkans
Nergis Canefe	York University
Hakan G. Sicakkan	University of Bergen
tammy T	Immigraton and Refigee Board Canada
Olusoji Ajao	The Migrant Project, Nigeria.
Stacy Brown	Refugees Forward, OKCPS, TESOL
Wilfred Chitembwe	University of Kent
Joanna (Anneke) Rummens	Ryerson University
Mvondo Gaelle	Minjustice Cameroun
Iris Simixhiu	Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada
Irmak Kurtulmus	Ryerson University &CERC
Saida Mohamed Abdi	University of Minnesota
Dawit Thomas Lambamo	University of Limpopo, South Africa
Zaheer	IRCC
Brian Gorlick	Refugee Law Initiative, University of London
Berenike Salome Jacob	University of Trento
Sandra Trebunia	University of Lincoln
Oliver Okafor	Ryerson University
Sarah Kim	Hijra Legal Clinic
Thobeka Nkomo	Witwatersrand
Eny Dorea	Federal Fluminense University

Margareth Zanchetta	Ryerson university
Anel Hared	York Region Public Health
Liza Shahnaz	Borneo University Tarakan
Sjarif Jonathan Ismail	N/A
Elena Habersky	CMRS-AUC
Rebecca Zimmerman	Sinai Health
Jo Vearey	Wits University
Lila Garcia	CONICET (Argentina)
Viktoriya Shaban	UNHCR
Francois Crepeau	Faculty of Law, McGill University
Glen Linder	Immigration, Refugees and Citizenship Canada
Jennifer Hyndman	York University
David Leger St-Cyr	IRCC
Megan Pickup	IRCC
Dinara Khayrulina	UNHCR
James Hathaway	University of Michigan
Elspeth	QMUL
Christine M. Jacobsen	University of Bergen
Iole Fontana	University of Catania
Francesca Longo	University of Catania
Mike Farjam	Lund University
Theofanis Exadaktylos	Department of Politics, University of Surrey
Eva Ecker	Ghent University/Protect
Simon Usherwood	Open University
Raphael Heiberger	University of Stuttgart
Alia Middleton	University of Surrey
Daniela Irrera	University of Catania
Sara Schmitt	University of Stuttgart
Carrera Nuñez Sergio	CEPS (Centre for European Policy Studies)
Idil Atak	Ryerson University
Urara Furukawa	UNHCR
Ursela Ojeda	Women's Refugee Commission
Danilo	University of Catania
Malena Carballo	European Commission
Boris	University of Ljubljana
Jan Fridthjof Bernt	University of Bergen
Lara-Zuzan Golesorkhi	University of Portland
Emellin de Oliveira	NOVA School of Law
Hiba Sha'ath	York University

Antonio de Manna	-
Anna Triandafyllidou	Ryerson University
Roda Siad	McGill University
Tom Clark	Centre for Refugee Studies, York University
Harald Bauder	X University
Miriam Mir	CEPS
Wolfgang BODE	Research Executive Agency, REA, Brussels
Ioan ZONGA	NUPSPA
Adrienn Kiss	Independent
Esra Eren	Turkish-German University
consigny coralie	HEUNI European Institute from crime prevention and control
Danijela Canji	Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia
Karel Arnaut	Anthropology, KU Leuven
Caroline Nalule	Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford
Anamaria Dutceac Segesten	Lund University
Cornelius cappelen	University of Bergen
Athina Mara	Caritas Hellas
Mara Benadusi	University of Catania
Kathryn Allinson	Bristol University
Sunniva Storm Mjelde	UiB
Sybila Galera	ESADE
Samuel Agblorti	Centre for Mixed Migration and Diaspora Studies, University of Cape Coast
Benjamin Ngaru	East African Centre for Forced Migration and Displacement
Berit Aasen	OsloMet
Dominica Henriques	Goldsmiths University of London
Yulin Xiao	East China Normal University
Marta Gorczynska	University of Warsaw
Kailin Chen	Kosovo Specialist Chambers
Chioma Joyce Onukogu	University of Johannesburg
Marina Capriola	EASO
Zhang Meiyun	university
Tina Chen	Antr Partners
Mustafa Kanafani	Self employed
Tihao Zeng	Graduate Institute Geneva
Saba Abbas	NA
Özgenur Yiğit	Suleyman Demirel University
Jona Zyfi	University of Toronto
Melissa McLeod	Queen's University

lole fontana	University of Catania
Ngozi Uzomah	Geography Department, University of Nigeria
Jürgen Bast	Justus Liebig University Giessen
Domiziana Corbelli	EC
Rachel Criswell	UNHCR
Catriona Jarvis	Methoria
Sharalyn Jordan	Simon Fraser University
Alonso de Ita	IOM
Pauline Endres de Oliveira	Justus Liebig University Gießen
Se	Ester papadopoulos
Marina Capriola	EASO
Sonja Hensch	Justus-Liebig-Universität
Lidiia Kuzmenko	UNHCR
Lucy Mayblin	University of Sheffield
Etbar Guliyev	DECUS Baku, partner organization of UNHCR in Azerbaijan
Radosveta Dimitrova	Stockholm University
Chizuko Sato	Institute of Developing Economies
Roberto Cortinovis	CEPS
Mabel ANG	Grandall Law Firm
Leon Züllig	Justus Liebig University, Giessen, Germany
Martyna Anna Wierzbicka	EUforHUM
Georgia TSATSANI	enfo.gr
Iuliana Mazur	UNHCR
Laura Topuett	University Bonn, Germany
Alida Rita Steigler	CMI-UIB LawTransform
Maria Ulvesæter	Global Research Programme on Inequality (GRIP)
Magdalena Fackler	Friedrich-Alexander-University Erlangen-Nürnberg
Giovanna Cavatorta	University of Catania
Khadro Abdulle	University of Toronto
nicholas maple	ACMS, Wits University
Leda Garcia da Eira	Fraternity - International Humanitarian Missions (FIHM)
Chaden	R=P
Tissianie Cardoso Almeida	Fraternity - International Humanitarian Missions (FIHM)
Lorena Calvo-Mariscal	University of Cadiz
Noah Ssempijja	Opportunity International
Nicolette Busuttil	QMUL
Zeynep Kasli	International Institute of Social Studies
Johanna Reynolds	York University
Catherine Woollard	ECRE

Ray Jureidini	НВКИ
Ray Jureidini	НВКИ
Linn Biorklund Belliveau	York University
Amy Rose Pitonak	University of Montreal
Gemechu Abeshu	YU
Abdinasir Issack	UNHCR
Rabindra Chaulagain	University of Lethbridge
Patience Idemudia	University of Saskatchewan
Ozgun Topak	York University
Rabindra Chaulagain	University of Lethbridge
Sujata Arya	Symbiosis Law School, Pune
Michelle Bellino	University of Michigan School of Education